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Presentation Outline

- Presentation Goals
- History
- General Mobility Criteria
- Financials
- Next Steps
Before 1988

- 1982-1984: METRO-City of Houston projects
- 1984-1988: Joint Governmental Projects
1988-2002

- 1988 referendum creates GMP for twelve years
- Infrastructure Improvement Program added in 1992 (phased out in 2002)
- 1999 GMP agreements set through 2009
2003-2014

• 2003: Referendum extends GMP to 2014
• 2003: Referendum requires election by 2013
• 2007: METRO advances Multi-Cities $68.9 million against future sales tax receipts
• 2013: Deadline for calling new election
• 2014: Present GMP expires (September)
• 2014: Current contracts expire (September)
Current General Mobility Criteria

• Within METRO’s service area
• Compliant with Texas Transportation Code
  – Constructing or maintaining streets, roads, bridges, grade separations, sidewalks, hike & bike trails;
  – Installing or maintaining traffic control signals, street lights, landscaping or drainage associated with roadway projects.
## GMP since Inception & Projected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Expenditure/ Commitment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-FY1999</td>
<td>$ 924.4 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY1999 – FY2011</td>
<td>1,334.2 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2012 – FY2014</td>
<td>431.8 million (est.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Program Outflow</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2.7 billion (est.)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Collected (est.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Houston</td>
<td>$4.7 billion (84%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris County</td>
<td>$545.4 million (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Cities</td>
<td>$343.6 million (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area-wide</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Opportunity Cost

• Lost federal matching
• Lost bonding capacity
• Less robust transit system
Next Steps

• Board solicits public comments
• Board determines referendum proposal
• Board calls election
• Voters decide on proposal