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Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

The Board of Directors
Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County, Texas:

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County, Texas (the Authority), as of and for the year ended September 30, 2016 and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority’s basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents, and have issued our report thereon dated March 10, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Authority’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Authority’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.
Purpose of this Report
The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Authority’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

KPMG LLP

Houston, Texas
March 10, 2017
Independent Auditors’ Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

The Board of Directors
Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County, Texas:

Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program
We have audited Metropolitan Transit Authority’s (the Authority) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on the Authority’s major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2016. The Authority’s major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor’s results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management’s Responsibility
Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors’ Responsibility
Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the Authority’s major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Authority’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Authority’s compliance.

Opinion on the Major Federal Program
In our opinion, the Authority complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2016.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance
Management of the Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Authority’s internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program to determine the auditing procedures
that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority’s internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the Authority as of and for the year ended September 30, 2016, and have issued our report thereon dated March 10, 2017, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Houston, Texas
April 11, 2017
### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

**Year ended September 30, 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFDA number</th>
<th>Grant number</th>
<th>Program/project descriptions</th>
<th>Subrecipient expenditures</th>
<th>Total expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.500</td>
<td>TX-03-0259</td>
<td>Cypress, Fuqua &amp; Clear Lake P&amp;R (Sect 5309 New Starts)</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>1,366,336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.500</td>
<td>TX-03-0298</td>
<td>North Corridor PE (Sect. 5309 New Starts)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4,114,349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.500</td>
<td>TX-03-0269</td>
<td>Southeast Corridor PE (Sect. 5309 New Starts)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2,974,993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.500</td>
<td>TX-04-0103</td>
<td>FY 2012 Bus and Bus Facilities (Sect. 5309)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2,851,516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.500</td>
<td>TX-05-0138</td>
<td>FY 2007-2008-2009 Fixed Guideway Mod. (Sect. 5309)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>423,419</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20.500 Subtotal — 14,778,338

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFDA number</th>
<th>Grant number</th>
<th>Program/project descriptions</th>
<th>Subrecipient expenditures</th>
<th>Total expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.507</td>
<td>TX-95-0006</td>
<td>Bike Racks &amp; New Service(Quickline, Cypress, &amp; Katy Mills) (Sect. 5307)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5,439,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.507</td>
<td>TX-90-Y121</td>
<td>FY 2014 Urbanized Area POP (Sect. 5307)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,182,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.507</td>
<td>TX-90-Y031</td>
<td>FY2013 Urbanized Area POP (Sect. 5307)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,262,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.507</td>
<td>TX-90-Y002</td>
<td>2012 Urbanized Area Formula Grant (Sect. 5307)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>751,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.507</td>
<td>TX-2016-020</td>
<td>2015 Urbanized Area Formula Grant (Sect. 5307)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>61,859,883</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Federal Transit Capital and Operating Assistance Formula Grants — 70,495,709

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFDA number</th>
<th>Grant number</th>
<th>Program/project descriptions</th>
<th>Subrecipient expenditures</th>
<th>Total expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.507</td>
<td>TX-54-0003</td>
<td>State of Good Repair (Sect. 5337)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,639,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.507</td>
<td>TX-2016-017</td>
<td>2015 State of Good Repair Formula Grant (Sect. 5337)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8,903,120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total State of Good Repair — 10,572,682

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFDA number</th>
<th>Grant number</th>
<th>Program/project descriptions</th>
<th>Subrecipient expenditures</th>
<th>Total expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39.003</td>
<td>FSPP16</td>
<td>Texas Facilities Comm’s Federal Surplus Property Program Auto Donation</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>55,549</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Other Direct Federal Funds — 153,229

Total Federal Awards — 105,432,826

See accompanying independent auditors’ report.
(1) Reporting Entity
The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of all federal financial assistance programs of the Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County, Texas (the Authority).

(2) Basis of Accounting
The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented using the accrual basis of accounting, which is described in note 1 to the Authority’s basic financial statements.

(3) Relationship to the Basic Financial Statements
Federal financial assistance revenue is reported in the Authority’s basic financial statements as capital grant proceeds and nonoperating grant proceeds in the amount of approximately $105.4 million.

(4) Indirect Costs
The Authority did not use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate.
(1) **Summary of Auditor’s Results**

(a) Type of report issued on whether the financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles: **Unmodified**

(b) Internal control deficiencies over financial reporting disclosed by the audit of the financial statements:
   - Material weaknesses: **No**
   - Significant deficiencies: **None Reported**

(c) Noncompliance material to the financial statements: **No**

(d) Internal control deficiencies over major programs disclosed by the audit:
   - Material weaknesses: **No**
   - Significant deficiencies: **None reported**

(e) Type of report issued on compliance for major program: **Unmodified**

(f) Audit findings that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a): **No**

(g) Major program:

(h) Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: **$3,000,000**

(i) Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee: **Yes**

(2) **Findings Relating to the Financial Statements Reported in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards**

None

(3) **Findings and Questioned Costs Relating to Federal Awards**

None